From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843
Date: 15 September 2026 10:13:16

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public to strongly object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE. I ask that planning permission be refused.

The site is entirely inappropriate for this use. Local infrastructure is absolutely not equipped to handle a site of this scale. The proposal is exceptionally large for an isolated rural location with little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and few services within walking distance. The site would have grave consequences on the availability of essential public services, transport and amenities to local people - particularly those who are vulnerable.

I have concerns about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important ecological, technical and infrastructure work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around the suitability of the site.

The transport evidence raises serious questions. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, while the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and a recorded history of serious collisions.

The application has not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. I do not believe a development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be approved while such fundamental matters remain unresolved. Information about the scale of the development has been conflicting, underlining the complete lack of required due diligence to ensure the appropriateness of this development.

Most of all, I am deeply concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application.

The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I ask that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

This site has also previously been considered for asylum accommodation. A smaller proposal was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before subsequently being cancelled.

These issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]